Punjab University Journal of Mathematics (2021),53(12),843-853 https://doi.org/10.52280/pujm.2021.531201

# $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} Infra-\beta-Closed(Open) \ \mathbf{Sets}: \mathbf{New} \\ \mathbf{Characterization} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{Applications} \end{array}$

Hakeem A. Othman Department of Mathematics, AL-Qunfudhah University college, Umm Al-Qura University, KSA. Department of Mathematics, Rada'a College of Education and Science, Albaydha University, Albaydha, Yemen. E-mail : hakim albdoie@yahoo.com.

Received: 20 February, 2020 / Accepted: 01 December, 2021 / Published online: 24 De-cember, 2021

Abstract. As applications on  $infra - \beta - open$  set for new classes of mappings and new concepts topological spaces are introduced, namely, infra- $\beta$ -continuous,  $infra-\beta$ -irresolute,  $infra-\beta$ -open(closed) mapping,  $infra - \beta - \tau_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4),  $infra - \beta$ -compact and  $infra - \beta$ -connected space. Some of special results and properties which belong to these various applications are established and studied. Moreover, the relations and opposite relations between these new concepts and others are discussed and counter examples are given in order to investigate opposite relations.

# AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: 54A40, 54C08, 54C10.

Key Words:  $infra - \beta - open(closed)$  set;  $infra - \beta - continuous$  mapping;  $infra - \beta - open(closed)$  mapping;  $infra - \beta - irresolute$ ;  $infra - \beta - compact$ ;  $infra - \beta - separation$  axioms;  $infra - \beta - connected$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since 1982, Dunham [8] has introduced new operators  $Cl^*$  and  $Int^*$ . Othman [[14],[15],[16] [17], [18], [19], [20] and [21]] generalized these operators to fuzzy topology and introduced new classes of sets and mappings, such as  $infra - \alpha open(closed)$  and  $supra - \beta - open(closed)$ . Other mathematicians, like, Selvi, Dharani, Missier, Rodrigo and Robert used these new operators in order to introduce [resp. pre \* open,  $\alpha * open$  and semi \* open] set [resp. [23], [11] and [22]].

In this paper, in using these operators, we introduce various applications on  $infra - \beta - open$  set like  $infra - \beta - compact$ ,  $infra - \beta - connected$ ,  $infra - \beta - \tau_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4),  $infra - \beta - open(closed)$  mapping,  $infra - \beta - continuous$  mapping and  $infra - \beta - irresolute$  mapping. Moreover, we discuss and study the relations between these new concepts and illustrate the opposite relations with examples. Finally, interesting and spacial results on these new concepts are investigated.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A set  $\varphi \subseteq X$  is called a  $\beta$  – open [2] (resp.  $\alpha$  – open [13], pre – open [12] and semi open [10] set if  $\varphi \subseteq cl$  Int cl ( $\varphi$ ) (resp.  $\varphi \subseteq Int$  cl Int ( $\varphi$ ),  $\varphi \subseteq Int$  cl ( $\varphi$ ) and  $\varphi \subseteq cl$  Int ( $\varphi$ )). The family of all  $\beta$  – open (resp.  $\alpha$  – open, pre – open and semi open) sets of X is denoted as  $\beta$  – O(X) (resp.  $\alpha O(X)$ , PO(X) and SO(X)).

**Definition 2.1.** [8] Let  $\varphi$  any set. Then, (i) Closure<sup>\*</sup>( $\varphi$ )  $(Cl^*(\varphi))$  is the intersection of all generalized – closed sets containing  $\varphi$ .

(ii) Interior<sup>\*</sup>( $\varphi$ ) (Int<sup>\*</sup>( $\varphi$ )) is the union of all generalized – open sets contained in  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A set  $\varphi \subseteq X$  is called an  $infra - \beta - open$  [20] (resp.  $infra - \beta - closed$ [20], infra - pre - open [20], infrasemi open [22] and  $infra - \alpha - open$  [17]) set if  $\varphi \subseteq Cl^*$  Int  $Cl^*(\varphi)$  (resp. Int<sup>\*</sup> Cl Int<sup>\*</sup> ( $\varphi$ )  $\subseteq \varphi, \varphi \subseteq Int$   $Cl^*(\varphi), \varphi \subseteq Cl^*$  Int ( $\varphi$ ) and  $\varphi \subseteq Int$   $Cl^*$  Int ( $\varphi$ )). The family of all  $infra - \beta - open$  (resp.  $infra - \beta - closed$ , infra - pre - open, infra semi open and  $infra - \alpha - open$ ) sets of X is denoted as  $I - \beta - O(X)$  (resp.  $I - \beta - C(X)$ , I - PO(X), I - SO(X) and  $I - \alpha - O(X)$ ).

**Definition 2.3.** [9] In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a subset  $\mu$  is generalized – closed if  $cl(\mu) \subseteq \eta$  whenever  $\mu \subseteq \eta$  and  $\eta$  is open set.

**Lemma 2.4.** [8] Let  $\mu$  any set. Then,

- $\varphi \subseteq Cl^*(\varphi) \subseteq Cl(\varphi).$
- $Int(\varphi) \subseteq Int^*(\varphi) \subseteq (\varphi).$

**Definition 2.5.** [1] A topological space  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is called:

- $\beta \tau_0$  if  $\forall x \neq y$ ,  $\exists \beta open \ set \ \varphi \in \tau_Y$ : either  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi$ , or  $y \in \varphi$  and  $x \notin \varphi$ .
- $\beta \tau_1$  if  $\forall x \neq y$ ,  $\exists \beta open \ set \ \varphi_1, \ \varphi_2 \in \tau_Y : x \in \varphi_1, \ y \notin \varphi_1, \ and \ y \in \varphi_2, \ x \notin \varphi_2.$
- $\beta \tau_2$  if  $\forall x \neq y$ ,  $\exists \beta open sets \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \tau_Y : x \in \varphi_1, y \in \varphi_2 and \varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- $\beta$  Regular space if  $\forall \eta \in \tau_Y^c$  and  $x \in Y : x \notin \eta$ ,  $\exists \beta$  open sets  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \tau_Y$ :  $x \in \varphi_1, \eta \subseteq \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- $\beta \tau_3$  if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta T_1$  and  $\beta$  regular space.
- $\beta$  Normal space if  $\forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \tau_Y^c$ :  $\eta_1 \cap \eta_2 = \phi, \exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \beta O(X)$ :  $\eta_1 \subseteq \varphi_1, \eta_2 \subseteq \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- $\beta \tau_4$  if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta T_1$  and  $\beta$  normal space.

**Definition 2.6.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is said to be:

- Infra  $\alpha$  continuous [17] if  $f^{-1}(\varphi) \in I \alpha O(X), \forall \varphi \in \tau_X$ .
- Infra pre continuous [22] if  $f^{-1}(\varphi) \in I PO(X)$ ),  $\forall \varphi \in \tau_X$ .
- $Infra semi continuous if f^{-1}(\varphi) \in I SO(X)), \ \forall \ \varphi \in \tau_X.$

Here, we can construct new topology namely, Infra-topological space by using the operator  $Int^*$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. the new topology generated by  $Int^*$ . That is,  $Infra \tau_x = \{\lambda \subseteq X : Int^*(\lambda) = \lambda\}.$ 

The member of  $Infra-\tau_x$  is called Infra-open set, the complement of it called Infra-closed set and the family of all Infra-open (resp. Infra-closed) sets can be denoted by infra-O(X) (resp. infra-C(X)).

#### Remark 2.8.

- (i): The members of Infra- $\tau_x$  are closed under the intersection properties and the complement (Infra- $\tau_r^c$ ) are closed under the union properties.
- (ii): The union of member of Infra- $\tau_x$  is not be member of Infra- $\tau_x$  in general.
- (iii): The intersection of member of Infra- $\tau_x^c$  is not be member of Infra- $\tau_x^c$  in general. (iv):  $\tau_x \subseteq Infra - \tau_x$  and  $\tau_x^c \subseteq Infra - \tau_x^c$ .

We can clarify the Remark 2.8 by the following example.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then

- $\tau_x = \{\phi, \{3\}, X\}$ , then Infra- $\tau_x = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$  we can see that  $\tau_x \subseteq Infra - \tau_x$ . If  $\lambda_1 = \{1\}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \{2\}$  are Infra-open sets but  $\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2 = \{1, 2\}$  is not Infra-open set.
- $\tau_x^c = \{\phi, \{1, 2\}, X\}$ , then  $Infra \tau_x^c = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, U\}$ we can see that  $\tau_x^c \subseteq Infra - \tau_x^c$ . If  $\mu_1 = \{1, 3\}$  and  $\mu_{2,3} = \{3\}$  are Infra-closed sets but  $\mu_1 \cap \mu_2 = \{3\}$  is not Infra-closed set.

3. INFRA- $\beta$ - CONTINUOUS AND INFRA- $\beta$ -OPEN ( CLOSED ) MAPPING

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is said to be:

- Infra  $-\beta$  continuous if  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I\beta O(X)(I\beta C(X)), \forall \lambda \in \tau_Y(\tau_Y^c)$ .
- $Infra \beta irresolute \ if \ f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I\beta O(X)(I\beta C(X)), \forall \lambda \in I\beta O(X)(I\beta C(X))$  $O(X)(I\beta - C(X)).$

**Theorem 3.2.** For a mapping  $f: (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$ , the statements below are the same: (i): f is  $infra - \beta - continuous$ ;

(ii):  $\forall \lambda \in O(Y)$  and  $a \in X$ :  $f(a) \in \lambda$ ,  $\exists \mu \in I\beta - O(X)$ :  $a \in \mu$  and  $f(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$ ; (iii):  $\forall \mu \in C(Y)$ , hence  $f^{-1}(\mu) \in I\beta - C(X)$ ;

(vi):  $Int^*ClInt^*(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq (f^{-1}(Cl(\lambda))), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ 

(v):  $f(Int^*ClInt^*(\mu)) \subseteq Cl(f(\mu)), \forall \mu \in X.$ 

#### **Proof.**

- $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . If  $a \in X$  and  $\forall \lambda \in O(Y) : f(a) \in \lambda$ , hence  $\exists \mu \in I\beta O(X) : a \in \mu$ and  $a \in \mu \subseteq f^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Therefore,  $f(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$ .
- $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Let  $\lambda \in Y$  and if take  $a \in f^{-1}(\lambda)$  and we have  $f(a) \in \lambda$ . Since  $\lambda \in O(Y)$ , then  $\exists \mu \in I\beta - O(X) : a \in \mu$  and  $f(\mu) \subseteq \lambda$  and we have  $a \in \mu \subseteq (f^{-1}(\lambda))$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I\beta - O(X)$ . (i)  $\Rightarrow (iii)$ . Let  $\lambda \in C(Y)$ . This show that  $\lambda^c \in O(Y)$ . This implies that
- $f^{-1}(\lambda^c) \in I\beta O(X)$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I\beta C(X)$ .
- $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ .: Consider  $\lambda \in Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(Cl(\lambda)) \in I\beta C(X)$ , then  $Int^*ClInt^*(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq I\beta C(X)$ .  $(f^{-1}(Cl(\lambda))).$
- $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ .: Suppose  $\mu \in X$  and let take  $\lambda = f(\mu)$  in (iv), We have  $Int^*ClInt^*(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(f(\mu))).$  Then,  $Int^*ClInt^*(\mu) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(f(\mu)))$ . This show that  $f(Int^*ClInt^*(\mu)) \subseteq Cl(f(\mu)).$
- $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Consider  $\lambda \in Y$  and  $\mu = f^{-1}(\lambda^c)$  in (v). We have  $f(Int^*ClInt^*(f^{-1}(\lambda^c))) \subseteq Cl f(f^{-1}(\lambda^c)) = \lambda^c$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(\lambda^c) \in S\beta$  – C(X) and f is infra- $\beta$ - continuous.

**Corollary 3.3.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$ , The statements below are the same:

- (i) f is an infra  $-\beta$  continuous;
- (ii) For any closed set in Y, the inverse image of it is an infra  $-\beta$  closed;
- (iii)  $f(I\beta -Cl(\mu)) \subseteq Cl(f(\mu)), \forall \mu \in X;$ (iv)  $I\beta Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ (v)  $f^{-1}(Int(\lambda)) \subseteq I\beta Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y.$

The "Implication Diagram 1" to give an illustration of the relations between different sorts of continuous mappings.



# **Diagram** 1

**Remark 3.4.** The opposite relationships must not be necessarily true in the Implication Diagram 1 as appeared by the following examples:

**Example 3.5.** If  $f: (X, \tau_1) \to (Y, \tau_2)$  be an identity mappings where, Y = X = $\{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\} \text{ and } \tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}.$  Then, f is a  $\beta$ -continuous but not an infra- $\beta$ -continuous mapping.

**Example 3.6.** If  $f:(X,\tau_X) \to (Y,\tau_Y)$  be an identity mappings where, Y = X = $\{a, b, c\}, \tau_X = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_Y = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, Y\}$ , then:

- f is an infra- $\beta$  continuous which is neither an infra precontinuous nor an  $infra - \alpha continuous.$
- f is an  $infra \beta$  continuous which is not continuous mapping.

**Example 3.7.** Consider the space  $(X, \tau_x)$  and  $(Y, \tau_y)$  where,  $Y = X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Let  $\tau_x = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, X\}, \tau_y = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 4\}, X\}$  and  $f : (X, \tau_x) \to (Y, \tau_y)$  be an identity mappings. We can see

- *f* is an infra-semicontinuous mapping where as it is not a continuous mapping.
- f is an infra-semicontinuous mapping which is not an infra-α-continuous mapping.

**Definition 3.8.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is said to be an infra  $-\beta$  - open(closed) if  $f(\mu) \in I\beta - O(Y)(I\beta - C(Y)), \forall \mu \in \tau_X(\tau_X^c)$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** For the bijective mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$ , The statements below are the same:

(i) f is an  $infra - \beta - closed$  mapping; (ii)  $f^{-1}(I\beta - Cl(\lambda)) \subseteq Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ (iii)  $Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta - Int(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ (iv)  $Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^* Int Cl^* (\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y.$ 

#### Proof.

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$$
.: If  $\lambda \in X$  and f be an infra- $\beta$  - closed mapping, then

$$f^{-1}(\lambda) \subseteq Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \Rightarrow I\beta - Cl(\lambda)) \subseteq I\beta - Cl(f(Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda))))$$
$$I\beta - Cl(\lambda) \subseteq f(Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda))) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(I\beta - Cl(\lambda)) \subseteq Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)).$$
$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): \text{Let } \lambda \in Y, \text{ hence } \lambda^c \in Y \text{ by } (ii) \text{ we have}$$

$$f^{-1}(I\beta - Cl(\lambda^c)) \subseteq Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda^c)) \Rightarrow (f^{-1}(I\beta - Int(\lambda)))^c \subseteq (Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)))^c.$$

Then,  $Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta - Int(\lambda))$ . (*iii*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*iv*).: By (*iii*) we can easily get

$$Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta - Int(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^* Int Cl^*(I\beta - Int(\lambda)))$$
$$Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^* Int Cl^*(\lambda)).$$
$$(iv) \Rightarrow (i): \text{ Let } \mu \in C(X). \text{ Thus, } (f(\mu))^c \in Y$$

By using (iv), we have

$$Int(f^{-1}((f(\mu))^{c})) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl^{*} Int Cl^{*}((f(\mu))^{c}))$$
$$(Cl(f^{-1}((f(\mu)))))^{c} \subseteq (f^{-1}(Int^{*} Cl Int^{*}((f(\mu)))))^{c}.$$
$$Int^{*} Cl Int^{*}((f(\mu))) \subseteq f(\mu).$$

Then,  $f(\mu) \in I\beta - C(Y)$  and f is an infra- $\beta$  - closed mapping.

**Corollary 3.10.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$ , The statements below are the same:

(i) f is an  $infra - \beta - open$  mapping; (ii)  $f(Int\mu) \subseteq I\beta - Int(f(\mu)), \forall \mu \in X;$ (iii)  $Int(f^{-1}(\lambda)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta - Int(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ (iv)  $f^{-1}(I\beta - Cl(\lambda)) \subseteq Cl(f^{-1}(\lambda)), \forall \lambda \in Y;$ (v)  $f(Int\mu) \subseteq Cl^*$  Int  $Cl^*(f(\mu)), \forall \mu \in X.$ 

# 4. INFRA- $\beta$ - TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

**Definition 4.1.** A  $(X, \tau)$  topological space is called:

- $Infra \beta T_0$  if  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ ,  $\exists \varphi \subseteq I\beta O(X)$ : either  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi$ , or  $y \in \varphi$  and  $x \notin \varphi$ .
- $Infra \beta T_1$  if  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ ,  $\exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \subseteq I\beta O(X) : x \in \varphi_1, y \notin \varphi_1$ , and  $y \in \varphi_2, x \notin \varphi_2$ .
- $Infra \beta T_2$  if  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ ,  $\exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \subseteq I\beta O(X) : x \in \varphi_1, y \in \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- Infra- $\beta$ -regular space if  $\forall \eta \in \tau^c$  and  $x \in X : x \notin \eta, \exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \subseteq I\beta O(X)$ :  $x \in \varphi_1, \eta \subseteq \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- $Infra \beta T_3$  if  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra \beta T_1$  and  $infra \beta regular$  space.
- Infra  $-\beta$ -Normal space if  $\forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \tau_Y^c : \eta_1 \cap \eta_2 = \phi, \exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in I\beta O(X)$ :  $\eta_1 \subseteq \varphi_1, \eta_2 \subseteq \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2 = \phi$ .
- $Infra \beta T_4$  if  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra \beta T_1$  and  $infra \beta normal space$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is an  $infra - \beta - T_0$  iff  $I\beta - Cl(\{x\}) \neq I\beta - Cl(\{y\})$ ,  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ .

# Proof.

Necessity: Let  $I\beta - Cl(\{x\}) \neq I\beta - Cl(\{y\}) \forall x \neq y \in X$ . Hence,  $I\beta - Cl(\{x\}) \notin I\beta - Cl(\{y\})$  or  $I\beta - Cl(\{y\}) \notin I\beta - Cl(\{x\})$ . Suppose that  $I\beta - Cl(\{x\}) \notin I\beta - Cl(\{y\}) \Rightarrow x \notin (I\beta - Cl(\{y\}))$  $\Rightarrow x \in (I\beta - Cl(\{y\}))^c \in I\beta - O(X)$  and  $y \notin (I\beta - Cl(\{y\}))^c$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - T_0$  space.

**Sufficiency:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - T_0$  space, then  $\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists \varphi \in I\beta - O(X)$ : either  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi$ , or  $y \in \varphi$  and  $x \notin \varphi$ . If we consider  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi$ . Therefore,  $\varphi^c \in I\beta - C(X)$  and  $x \notin \varphi^c$ ,  $y \in \varphi^c$ , then  $x \notin I\beta - Cl(\{y\})$ . Hence,  $I\beta - Cl(\{x\}) \neq I\beta - Cl(\{y\})$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is an  $infra - \beta - T_0$ , then  $I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{x\})) \cap I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})) = \phi$ ,  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $infra - \beta - T_0$  space, then  $\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists \varphi \in I\beta - O(X)$ : either  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi$ , or  $y \in \varphi$  and  $x \notin \varphi$ . If  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin \varphi \Rightarrow x \notin \varphi^c$  and  $y \in \varphi^c$ . Therefore,  $I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})) \subseteq \varphi^c \Rightarrow I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})) \cap \varphi = \phi$ . Hence,  $x \in \varphi \subseteq (I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})))^c$ and  $I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{x\})) \subseteq (I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})))^c$ . Then,  $I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{x\})) \cap I\beta - Int(I\beta - Cl(\{y\})) = \phi$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is an  $infra - \beta - T_1$  iff  $\{x\} \in I\beta - C(X), \forall x \in X$ .

#### Proof.

**Necessity:** Let  $\{x\}, \{y\} \in I\beta - C(X) \ \forall x \neq y \in X$ . This show that  $x \in \{y\}^c, y \in \{x\}^c$  and  $\{x\}^c, \{y\}^c \in I\beta - O(X)$ . Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - T_0$  space.

**Sufficiency**: Let X is an  $infra - \beta - T_1$  space, then  $\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists \varphi \in I\beta - O(X)$ :  $y \in \varphi$  and  $x \notin \varphi$ . This show that  $y \in \varphi \subseteq \{x\}^c$ , therefore  $\{x\} \in I\beta - C(X)$ .

**Remark 4.5.** If  $g, f: (X, \tau_x) \to (Y, \tau_y)$  are irresolute  $-\inf fra - \beta - \operatorname{continuous}$ (resp.  $\inf fra - \beta - \operatorname{continuous}$ ) mapping and Y is  $\inf fra - \beta - \tau_2$  (resp.  $\tau_2$ ) space, then the set  $A = \{x : x \in X, f(x) = g(x)\}$  is not  $\inf fra - \beta - \operatorname{closed}$  set. We shall illustrate that by the following example: **Example 4.6.** If  $g, f: (X, \tau_x) \to (Y, \tau_y)$  are irresolute  $-infra - \beta - continuous$  (  $infra - \beta - continuous$ ) mapping define as following:

> f(a) = 2, f(b) = 1 and f(c) = 2g(a) = 2, g(b) = 1 and g(c) = 1

and let  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{2\}, Y\}$  where,  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and  $Y = \{1, 2\}$ , we can see that  $A = \{x : x \in X, f(x) = g(x)\} = \{a, b\}$  but is not an  $infra - \beta - closed$  set.

The "Implication Diagram 2" to give an illustration of the relations between different sorts of  $\beta$ -topological spaces.



Remark 4.7. The opposite relationships must not be necessarily true in the Implication Diagram 2 as appeared by the following examples:

**Example 4.8.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{2, 3\}, X\}$ . We can see

- τ is β − τ<sub>0</sub>, β − τ<sub>1</sub>, β − τ<sub>2</sub>, β − τ<sub>3</sub> and β − τ<sub>4</sub> space.
  τ is not infra − β − τ<sub>0</sub>, infra − β − τ<sub>1</sub>, infra − β − τ<sub>2</sub>, infra − β − τ<sub>3</sub> and  $infra - \beta - \tau_4$  space.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$ . We can see  $\tau$  is an  $infra - \beta - \tau_0$  space but not  $infra - \beta - \tau_1$  space.

**Example 4.10.** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cof})$ , where  $\tau_{cof}$  is Cofinite topological space. We can see  $\tau$  is an  $infra - \beta - \tau_1$  but not  $infra - \beta - \tau_2$ .

**Example 4.11.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, X\}$ . We can see  $\tau$  is an  $infra - \beta - normal$  space but not  $infra - \beta$  $\beta$  – regular space.

**Theorem 4.12.** A  $(X, \tau_x)$  space is an  $infra - \beta - T_2$  iff  $\forall x \neq y \in X$ ,  $\exists \varphi \in I\beta - O(X)$ :  $x \in \varphi$  and  $y \notin I\beta - Cl(\varphi)$ .

#### Proof.

**Necessity:** Suppose that  $\forall x \neq y \in X \exists \varphi \in I\beta - O(X) : x \in \varphi \text{ and } y \notin I\beta - Cl(\varphi).$ This show that  $y \in (I\beta - Cl(\varphi))^c \in I\beta - O(X)$  and  $\varphi \cap (I\beta - Cl(\varphi))^c = \phi$ . Then, X is an  $infra - \beta - T_2$  space.

**Sufficiency:** Let  $(X, \tau_x)$  is an  $infra - \beta - T_2$  space, then  $\forall x \neq y \in X \exists \varphi$  and  $\lambda$  $\in I\beta - O(X)$  such :  $x \in \varphi, y \in \lambda$  and  $\varphi \cap \lambda = \phi$ . Therefore,  $\varphi \subseteq \lambda^c \Rightarrow I\beta - Cl(\varphi) \subseteq \lambda^c$  $\Rightarrow y \notin I\beta - Cl(\varphi).$ 

Now, we will discuss some interesting results on an  $infra - \beta - compact$  space and an  $infra - \beta - connected$  space as follows:

**Definition 4.13.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is said to be an infra  $-\beta$  - compact space if every  $in fra - \beta$  - open cover has finite subcover.

**Theorem 4.14.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is an infra  $-\beta$  - compact iff the finite intersection of in  $fra - \beta$  - closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$  has non-empty intersection.

#### **Proof.**

**Necessity:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  space and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be a family of  $infra - \beta - closed$  sets.

If we assume that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha} = \phi$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}^c = \{A^c_{\alpha} = X - A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  are the family of  $infra - \beta - open$  sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Then,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}^{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (X - A_{\alpha}) = X - \phi = X$ We get that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}^{c}$  is an  $infra - \beta - open$  cover of X but  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - \phi = X$ compact space.

Thus,  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i}^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - A_{\alpha_i}^c) = X - \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i}$  which mean that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i}$ should be empty is a contradiction, then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ 

Sufficiency: We will prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  space so, we assume that  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  is an  $infra - \beta - open$  cover for X.

Then, we have  $\mathcal{A}^c = \{A^c_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a family of  $infra - \beta - closed$  sets and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A^c_\alpha \neq I$  $\phi \text{ is } infra - \beta - closed \text{ set see } \{ [20], \text{Theorem 2.4.} \}.$ We put  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}^c = \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow X - \mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i}) \bigcup \mathcal{B}^c = X.$ 

Then, we get  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  space.

**Theorem 4.15.** A  $(X, \tau)$  space is an  $infra - \beta - \tau_2$  iff  $\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists U \in \tau : x \in$  $I\beta - O(X)$  and  $y \in (I\beta - Cl(U))^c$ .

#### Proof.

**Necessity:**Let  $x \neq y \in X$  and  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - \tau_2$  hence,  $\exists U, V \in I\beta - O(X)$ :  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi \Rightarrow I\beta - Cl(U) \subseteq V^c \Rightarrow V \subseteq (I\beta - Cl(U))^c$ , then  $y \in (I\beta - Cl(U))^c$ .

**Sufficiency:** Suppose that  $\forall x \neq y \in X, \exists U \in I\beta - O(X) : x \in U$  and  $y \in (I\beta - O(X))$  $Cl(U))^c \in I\beta - O(X)$  and we have  $U \cap (I\beta - Cl(U))^c = \phi$ . Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $in fra - \beta - \tau_2$  space.

**Theorem 4.16.** IF  $f: (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  mapping is an infra  $-\beta$  - continuous from in  $fra - \beta$  - compact space to  $in fra - \beta - \tau_2$  space, then f is an  $in fra - \beta$  - closed mapping.

**Proof.** Let  $F \in X$  is an  $infra - \beta - closed$  set, then we should prove that f(F) is also  $infra - \beta - closed$  set in Y.

Since X is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  space then, easy to prove that F is an  $infra - \beta - \beta$ 

#### 850

compact subset of X.

Now we will prove that f(F) is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset of Y so we assume that  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  is an  $infra - \beta - open$  cover of f(F) i.e  $f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha} \Rightarrow F \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} f^{-1}(A_{\alpha})$ . Since F is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset, hence  $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_{ij}) \Rightarrow f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (A_{ij})$ . Then, f(F) is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset of Y. Since Y is  $infra - \beta - \tau_2$  space and f(F) is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset it and let  $x \notin f(F)$  and  $x \neq p \in Y, \exists U_x, V_x \in T_y : x \in U_x, p \in V_x$  and  $U_x \cap V_x = \phi$  i.e  $\{U_x : x \in f(F)\}$  is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset of Y. Suce Y is  $ninfra - \beta - open$  cover of f(F). But f(F) is an  $infra - \beta - compact$  subset of Y, then we have finite sub cover of it i.e  $f(F) \subseteq U_{x_1} \cup U_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} \subseteq U$ . Put  $V = V_{p_1} \cap V_{p_2} \cap \dots \cap V_{p_n} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{p_i}$ , then  $U \cap V = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{p_i}) = \phi$ . Thus,  $f(F) \cap V = \phi \Rightarrow V \subseteq (f(F))^c \Rightarrow p \in V \subseteq (f(F))^c$ . So,  $(f(F))^c$  is an  $infra - \beta - closed$  mapping.

**Definition 4.17.** A set  $\mu \in X$  is said to be an  $infra - \beta - regular$  set if  $\varphi$  is both  $infra - \beta - open$  and  $infra - \beta - closed$  set.

**Definition 4.18.** A  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be an infra  $-\beta$  – connected space if X cannot written as the Union of two nonempty disjoint infra  $-\beta$  – open sets.

**Theorem 4.19.** If  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then the following properties are same:

- (i):  $(X, \tau)$  is an infra  $-\beta$  connected;
- (ii):  $(X, \tau)$  cannot expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint  $infra \beta closed$  sets in X;
- (iii): X and  $\phi$  are the only infra  $-\beta$  regular set.

Proof.

- $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Assume  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra \beta connected$  space. Suppose that  $\varphi_1$ and  $\varphi_2$  are nonempty disjoint  $infra - \beta - closed$  sets :  $X = \varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2^c$  and  $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1^c$  are nonempty disjoint  $infra - \beta - open$  sets, which contradicts. Then (ii).
- (*ii*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*iii*): Suppose that  $\varphi$  be a nonempty disjoint  $infra \beta regular$  set in X. Thus,  $X = \varphi \cup \varphi^c$ , which contradicts. Then (*iii*).
- $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $(X, \tau)$  is not an  $infra \beta connected$  space, then  $\exists \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ are nonempty disjoint  $infra - \beta - open$  sets :  $X = \varphi_1 \cup \varphi_2$ . Thus,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2^c$  and  $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1^c$ . This show that  $\varphi_1$  is an  $infra - \beta - regular$  set, which contradicts. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $infra - \beta - connected$  space.

## Corollary 4.20.

- Every  $\beta$  connected is infra  $\beta$  connected space.
- Every  $infra \beta$  connected is connected space.

**Remark 4.21.** *The examples below demonstrate that the opposite of Corollary (4.20) is usually not true:* 

**Example 4.22.** The indiscreet topology  $(X, \tau)$  is connected and  $infra - \beta$  - connected but not  $\beta$  - connected space.

**Example 4.23.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ , then it is connected but not infra  $-\beta$  - connected space.

**Definition 4.24.** If  $\varphi$  Unable to write two non-empty disjoint  $infra - \beta$  – open sets as a Union, a set  $\varphi \in X$  is said to be an  $infra - \beta$  – connected

**Theorem 4.25.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  be an infra  $-\beta$  - irresolute surjective mapping. If  $\varphi$  is an infra  $-\beta$  - connected subset of X, then  $f(\varphi)$  is a connected in Y.

**Proof.** Assume  $f(\varphi)$  is not an  $infra - \beta - connected$  in Y. Hence,  $\exists \mu$  and  $\lambda \in Y$  are  $infra - \beta - open$  sets :  $f(\varphi) = \mu \cup \lambda$ . Since f is an  $infra - \beta - irresolutesurjective$  mapping,  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  are  $infra - \beta - open$  sets in X and  $\varphi = f^{-1}(f(\varphi)) = f^{-1}(\mu \cup \lambda) = f^{-1}(\mu) \cup f^{-1}(\lambda)$ . It is clear that  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  are  $infra - \beta - open$  in X. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is not an  $infra - \beta - connected$  in X, which is a C!. Then,  $f(\varphi)$  is an  $infra - \beta - connected$ .

## 5. CONCLUSION

in this paper, we introduced new mappings and concepts in topological spaces. The relations between these new concepts and mappings are being studied with other topological spaces and mappings. The results of this paper can be extended to fuzzy sets, soft sets, nano sets, leading to the development of the information system and various fields in computational topology see, [[3], [4], [5], [6], [7]].

#### 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, Ph. D Thesis, Tanta University, Egypt, (1980).
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud, β-open sets and β-continuous mapping, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12, No. 1 (1983) 77-90.
- [3] MS. El Naschie, On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and the two-slit experiment, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 9(1998) 29-517.
- [4] MS. El Naschie, Quantum gravity from descriptive set theory., Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 19(2004)44-1339.
- [5] MS. El Naschie, Quantum gravity, Clifford algebras, fuzzy set theory and the fundamental constants of nature, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 20(2004) 50-437.
- [6] MS. El Naschie, On a fuzzy Kahler-like manifold which is consistent with the two slit experiment, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer Simul, 6(2005) 8-95.
- [7] MS. El Naschie, Topics in the mathematical physics of E-infinity theory, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 30(2006)63-656.
- [8] W. Dunham, A New Closure Operator for non-T1 topology, Kyuungpook Math. J., 22(1982) 55-60
- [9] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rendiconti del Circ Math Palermo, 19 (1970) 89-96.
- [10] N. Levine, semi open sets and semi-continuouity in topological space, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70**, No. 1(1981) 36-41.
- [11] S. P. Missier and P. Anbarasi Rodrigo, *Some Notions of Nearly Open Sets in Toological Spaces*, Intenational Journal of Mathematical Archive, **4**, No. 12 (2013) 12-18.
- [12] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On Precontinuous and weak precontinuous Mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982).47-53.
- [13] O. Njastad, Some Classes of Nearly Open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15, No.3(1965). 961-970.
- [14] H. A. Othman, On fuzzy sp-open sets, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Advances in Fuzzy Systems 2011, Article ID 768028, 5 pages, doi:10.1155/2011/768028.
- [15] Hakeem A. Othman, Some Weaker Forms of Fuzzy Faintly Open Mapping", Journal of Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis Volume 2015, No. 2 (2015), Pages 97-103
- [16] Hakeem A. Othman, On Fuzzy θ-Generalized-Semi-Closed Sets, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology 7:2 (2016), 84 - 92.
- [17] H. A. Othman and Md.Hanif.Page, ON An Infra-α-Open Sets, Global Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 4, No. 3 (2016) 12-16.
- [18] H. A. Othman, On Fuzzy supra-preopen sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., 12, No. 3 (2016) 361 371.
- [19] H. A. Othman, On Fuzzy infra-semopen sets, Punjab Univ.j. math. 48, No. 2 (2016)1 10.

- [20] H. A. Othman, On Weak and Strong Forms of β-open Sets, Bulletin of International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 7, No. 1 (2017) 1-9.
- [21] H. A. Othman and Alanod M. Sibih, Weak and Strong Forms of Fuzzy α-Open (Closed) Sets and its Applications, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 9, No.2 (2018) 100112.
- [22] A. Robert and S. Pious Missier, A New Class of Nearly Open Sets, Intenational Journal of Mathematical Archive, **3**, No. 7 (2012) 2575-2582.
- [23] T. Selvi and A. Punitha Dharani, *Some new class of nearly closed and open sets*, Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths, **1:5** Sep Oct (2012) 305-307.